1	DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP
2	Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. <i>(pro hac vice)</i> James D. Sheppard, Esq. <i>(pro hac vice)</i>
3	1201 Elm Street, Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 75209
4	Telephone: 214-389-5300 Facsimile: 214-389-5399
5	aryan@diamondmccarthy.com jsheppard@diamondmccarthy.com
6	Counsel for Allan B. Diamond,
	Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP
7	KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER, P.C.
8	Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105) Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291)
9	1970 Broadway, Suite 225
10	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510-763-1000
11	Facsimile: 510-273-8669 e.nyberg@kornfieldlaw.com
12	c.kuhner@kornfieldlaw.com
13	Local Counsel for Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP
14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
16) Case No. 11-31376 DM
17	In re (Chapter 11 (Hon. Dennis Montali (Hon. Dennis Montali)
18	HOWREY LLP,
19	Debtor.) CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE'S MOTION) FOR ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH
20) CROWELL & MORING LLP)
21)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	494385 v.1
26	Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 1 of

TO THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Allan B. Diamond, the chapter 11 trustee ("<u>Trustee</u>") for Howrey LLP ("<u>Howrey</u>" or "<u>Debtor</u>"), hereby submits this motion ("<u>Motion</u>") pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) for entry of an order approving an unfinished business settlement between the Debtor and Crowell & Moring LLP ("<u>C&M</u>"). This settlement (if approved) will resolve any and all claims between the Trustee and C&M without the need for litigation, provides a cash payment to the estate, and is well within the Trustee's business judgment. In support of this Motion, the Trustee represents as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334(a) and (b). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) (2).

BACKGROUND

Howrey Bankruptcy and Claims Investigation.

- 2. On April 11, 2011, certain creditors of Howrey filed a chapter 7 involuntary petition against the Debtor. On June 6, 2011, the Court entered an order converting the above captioned case to a case under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. On September 22, 2011, the Court entered an order granting a motion to appoint a chapter 11 trustee. On October 7, 2011, the U.S. Trustee for the Northern District of California appointed the Trustee as chapter 11 trustee for the estate of Howrey. On October 12, 2011, the Court entered an order approving the appointment of the Trustee.
- 4. Since his appointment, the Trustee and his counsel have analyzed potential claims to recover valuable assets of Howrey's estate. The Trustee has identified claims against certain former Howrey partners and their successor law firms relating to "unfinished business" of

Howrey and also known as *Jewel v. Boxer* claims. In Adversary Complaints filed in this Court, the Trustee has alleged that Howrey's former partners attempted to shield themselves and their successor law firms from unfinished business claims by executing a so-called Jewel Waiver on the eve of Howrey's dissolution. In alleging that the Jewel Waiver provided no benefit to Howrey (or its creditors), the Trustee is seeking to avoid the Jewel Waiver as a fraudulent transfer under applicable bankruptcy and state laws and to require the successor law firms to return the profits received from Howrey's unfinished business.

- Solution Sol
- 6. Before filing adversary complaints to avoid the Jewel Waiver and recover the unfinished business profits, the Trustee and certain successor law firms have engaged in negotiations to achieve a pre-suit resolution that would avoid the time and expense of litigation.
- 7. After discovery and analysis of these claims discussed below, the Trustee and C&M have reached a compromise outlined in the Settlement Agreement (the "C&M Settlement Agreement"), a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit A**. As discussed below and in his

Declaration attached as **Exhibit B**, the Trustee has determined in the exercise of his reasonable business judgment that the proposed C&M Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable within the standards discussed above, and that it is in the best interests of the Howrey estate. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶¶ 8-13.

Negotiations With C&M and the Proposed Settlement

- 8. On February 15, 2013, the Trustee served C&M with a Rule 2004 subpoena for information regarding unfinished business claims (the "<u>Unfinished Business Subpoena</u>"), relating to legal matters that originated at Howrey and were transferred to C&M in connection with the departure of a former Howrey partner (the "<u>Unfinished Business Claims</u>").
- 9. On or about December 15, 2013, C&M responded to the Unfinished Business Subpoena by providing certain information and calculations on matters transferred from Howrey to C&M (the "C&M Data") by former Howrey partner Mark Klapow ("C&M Former Howrey Partner").
- 10. Since February 2013, and continuing through January 2014, the Trustee has discussed and analyzed the factual circumstances and legal issues relating to the C&M Data.
- 11. Between January 14, 2014 and January 16, 2014, the Trustee and C&M engaged in arm's length negotiations regarding the settlement of Unfinished Business Claims that Howrey may have against C&M.
- 12. On February 6, 2014, the Parties reached the compromise that is the subject of this Motion. For the reasons discussed below, the Trustee submits that the proposed settlement is well within the range of reasonableness and in the best interests of the estate. By this Motion, the Trustee, therefore, requests that the Court approve the C&M Settlement Agreement and grant

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

the other requested relief so the estate and its creditors may obtain the benefits of this compromise.

TERMS OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

- 13. Following extensive arm's-length negotiations, the Trustee and C&M have reached a proposed resolution of the Trustee's Unfinished Business Claims against C&M The material terms of the C&M Settlement Agreement are as follows:
 - a. **Payment**: Within three business days of the Effective Date, C&M shall pay the sum of \$65,500 to the Trustee (the "Payment") via check or wire transfer. *See* C&M Settlement Agreement ¶¶ 1-2, 14.
 - b. Release by Trustee: Upon the Effective Date of the C&M Settlement Agreement and receipt by Trustee of the Payment, the Trustee will agree to (i) release any and all claims against C&M; and (ii) release any "unfinished business" claims against the C&M Former Howrey Partner. See C&M Settlement Agreement ¶ 1, 4.
 - c. Release by C&M: Upon the Effective Date of the C&M Settlement Agreement, C&M will agree to release claims against Howrey and/or the Trustee (and their related parties) that C&M has ever had, now has, or shall have against Howrey and/or the Trustee. See C&M Settlement Agreement ¶ 5.

BASIS FOR RELIEF AND APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

- 14. By this Motion, the Trustee respectfully seeks the entry of an order pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) approving the C&M Settlement Agreement. As discussed in further detail below, the C&M Settlement Agreement provides a fair and equitable resolution of the Parties' disputes and is in the best interests of the Howrey estate.
- 15. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides, in part, that "[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement." FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a). The Rule empowers bankruptcy courts to approve compromises and settlements that are "fair and equitable" and "in the best interest of the estate." *Martin v. Kane (In re A & C*

25

Properties), 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986), cert. denied sub nom. Martin v. Robinson, 479 U.S. 854 (1986). "The bankruptcy court has 'great latitude' in approving compromise agreements." Woodson v. Fireman's Insurance, Co. (In re Woodson), 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988).

- 16. To evaluate a compromise, a bankruptcy court considers "(a) [t]he probability of success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the matter of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and delay necessarily attending it; [and] (d) the paramount interest of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views in the premises." *In re A & C Properties*, 784 F.3d at 1381.
- 17. In addition to the four prong test set forth in *A & C Properties*, it is also well established that "the law favors compromise and not litigation for its own sake." *See Port O'Call Investment Co. v. Blair (In re Blair)*, 538 F.2d 849, 851 (9th Cir. 1976).
- 18. Although the Trustee, as the proponent of the settlement, bears the burden of persuasion (*see id.*), "a court generally gives deference to a trustee's business judgment in deciding whether to settle a matter," *In re Mickey Thompson, Entertainment Group, Inc.*, 292 B.R. 415, 420 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003).
- 19. As discussed below and in his Declaration, the Trustee has determined in the exercise of his reasonable business judgment that the proposed C&M Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable within the standards discussed above, and that it is in the best interests of the Howrey estate. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶¶ 8-13.
- 20. Here, there is no question that the C&M Settlement Agreement and Payment are in the best interests of the estate. <u>First</u>, the Payment is approximately 17% of the gross revenue collected by C&M on the former Howrey matters and, thus, well within the range of

reasonableness based on the range of settlements obtained in prior law firm bankruptcies. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶ 10.

- 21. Second, the C&M Settlement Agreement was achieved without the cost, delay, and uncertainty of litigation that would likely include, among other things, a motion to dismiss, a motion for summary judgment, a trial in this Court, and potential appeals. C&M raised a legal defense about whether the firm had unfinished business liability because the C&M Former Howrey Partner was a Level I partner at Howrey. Although the Trustee believes that this issue would be resolved in the Trustee's favor, the C&M Settlement Agreement obviates the risk that the Trustee faces in litigating this issue. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶ 11.
- 22. Had the Trustee filed a lawsuit against C&M to recover the unfinished business profits, the Trustee would initially need to prevail against any motion to dismiss filed by C&M. At the time of the C&M Settlement Agreement, the successor law firms' motions to dismiss were still pending in this Court and, to some degree, there was still litigation risk. But still, the Trustee has good reason to believe that any dismissal motion filed by C&M would be denied.
- 23. The proposed C&M Settlement Agreement eliminates the time, expense, and uncertainty of litigating the claims and defenses in a motion to dismiss, as well as the risk of a negative result after trial. To date, the Trustee has incurred relatively low litigation costs in evaluating and pursuing these claims against C&M, however, that figure will undoubtedly increase dramatically as other successor law firms pursue motions to dismiss. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶ 12.
- 24. Given the time, expense, and uncertainty associated with litigation to recover from C&M, the Trustee believes that the Payment is within the range of reasonableness and will provide a substantial monetary benefit to the estate. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶¶ 8-13.

1	25. In summary, because the proposed settlements satisfy all of the A & C Properties
2	factors, the Trustee has concluded in his business judgment that the C&M Settlement Agreement
3	is fair, equitable, and in the best interest of the estate, and should be approved by the Court. See
4	Diamond Decl. ¶ 13.
5	CONCLUSION
6	For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court grant the
7	Motion and enter and order approving the Debtor's compromise with C&M as described above.
8	Dated: March 25, 2014
9	
10	/s/ James Sheppard
11	Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. (pro hac vice) James D. Sheppard, Esq. (pro hac vice)
12	DIAMOND McCARTHY LLP 1201 Elm Street, Suite 3400
13	Dallas, TX 75209 Telephone: 214-389-5300
14	Facsimile: 214-389-5399 aryan@diamondmccarthy.com
15	awelch@diamondmccarthy.com
16	Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105) Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291)
17	KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER, P.C. 1970 Broadway, Suite 225
18	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510-763-1000
19	Facsimile: 510-273-8669
20	Counsel for Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP
21	
22	
23	
24	

8
Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 8 of 28

1	NOTICE OF SERVICE
2	X (CM/ECF) The document was electronically served on the parties to this action via the mandatory United States Bankruptcy Court of California CM/ECF system upon filing of above
3	described document:
4	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
5	X (ELECTRONIC MAIL SERVICE) By electronic mail (e-mail) the above listed document(s) without error to the email address(es) set forth below on this date:
6	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
7 8	X (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced documents for mailing in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, at Houston, Texas, to the parties listed, at their last known mailing addresses, on this date:
9	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
10	(OVERNIGHT COURIER) By depositing a true and correct copy of the above
11	referenced document for overnight delivery via Federal Express, at a collection facility maintained for such purpose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at their last
12	known delivery address, on the date above written.
13	(COURIER SERVICE) By providing true and correct copies of the above referenced documents [with copies of the supporting detailed invoices/attorney time records for the Final
14	Fee Application] via courier delivery, to the following on or about:
15	(EACSIMILE) That I samued a topic and compact come of the share referenced decompact via
16	(FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-referenced document via facsimile, to the facsimile numbers indicated, to those people listed on the attached service list, on the date above written.
17	
18	/s/ Andrew B. Ryan
19	Andrew B. Ryan
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 9 of 28

VIA CM/ECF:	
United States Trustee	Minnie Loo, Esq.
	Donna S. Tamanaha, Esq.
	Office of the U.S. Trustee
	235 Pine Street. 7 th Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94104-3484
	Email: Minnie.Loo@usdoj.gov
	Email: <u>Donna.S.Tamanaha@usdoj.gov</u>
Chapter 11 Trustee	Allan B. Diamond
	Diamond McCarthy, LLP
	Two Houston Center
	909 Fannin Street, Suite 1500
	Houston, Texas 77010
	Email: adiamond@diamondmccarthy.com
Counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee	Diamond McCarthy, LLP
	Howard D. Ressler, Esq.
	Email: hressler@diamondmccarthy.com
	Stephen T. Loden, Esq.
	Email: sloden@diamondmccarthy.com
	Jason M. Rudd, Esq.
	Email: jrudd@diamondmccarthy.com
	Kornfield Nyberg Bender & Kuhner P.C.
	Eric Nyberg
	Email: e.nyberg@kornfieldlaw.com
	Chris D. Kuhner
	Email: c.kuhner@kornfieldlaw.com
Debtor's Counsel	Wiley Rein LLP
	H. Jason Gold
	Valerie P. Morison
	Dylan G. Trache
	Email: jgold@wileyrein.com
	Email: vmorrison@wileyrein.com
	Email: dtrache@wileyrein.com
	Murray & Murray
	Robert A. Franklin
	Craig M. Prim
	Jenny Lynn Fountain
	Email: rfranklin@murraylaw.com
	Email: <u>cprim@murraylaw.com</u> Email: <u>jfountain@murraylaw.com</u>
	Emau. <u>nountain@murraytaw.com</u>
Duane Morris LLP	Geoffrey A. Heaton, Esq.
	Email: gheaton@duanemorris.com
	Aron M. Oiner, Esq.
	Email: roliner@duanemorris.com

1		
	Law Offices of Latham & Watkins	Kimberly A. Posin, Esq. Email: kim.posin@lw.com
2		Email: ktm.postn@tw.com
3	Murray & Murray	Craig M. Prim, Esq.
		Email: cprim@murraylaw.com Robert A. Franklin, Esq.
4		Email: rfranklin@murraylaw.com
5		Jenny L. Fountain, Esq.
3		Email: jlfountain@murraylaw.com
6	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Whiteford, Taylor & Preston LLP
-		Bradford F. Englander, Esq.
7		<i>Email:</i> <u>benglander@wtplaw.com</u> John F. Carlton, Esq.
8		Email: jcarlton@wtplaw.com
o		Justin P. Fasano, Esq.
9		Email: jfasano@wtplaw.com
	Counsel for The Irvine Company, LLC	Allen Matkins, et al.
10	. ,	Email: mgreger@allenmatkins.com
11	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison
	,	Kelley A. Cornish, Esq.
12		Email: kcornish@paulweiss.com
13		McNutt Law Group, LLP
		Scott H. McNutt, Esq.
14		Email: <u>smcnutt@ml-sf.com</u>
1.5	Counsel for Creditor Protiviti, Inc.	Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones
15		John D. Fiero, Esq.
16		Email: jfiero@pszjlaw.com
	Counsel for Creditor Oracle America, Inc.	Buchalter Nemer
17		Shawn M. Christianson, Esq.
18		Email: schristianson@buchalter.com
10	Counsel for Creditor U.S. Bank, N.A.,	Perkins Coie LLP
19	as Trustee	David J. Gold, Esq.
		Email: dgold@perkinscoie.com
20		Gabriel Liao, Esq. Email: gliao@perkinscoie.com
		Lman. guadaperkinscole.com
21	Counsel for Attorneys' Liability Assurance	Perkins Coie LLP
22	Society, Inc., A Risk Retention Group	Alan D. Smith, Esq.
<i>44</i>		Email: adsmith@perkinscoie.com
23	Counsel for Creditors Advanced Discovery	Trepel McGrane Greenfield LLP
	LLc, Give Something Back, Inc., Jan Brown	Maureen A. Harrington, Esq.
24	& Associates, Kent Daniels & Associates, Inc.,	Email: mharrington@tmcglaw.com
25		

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 11 of 28

1	L.A. Best Photocopies, Inc., Western	Christopher D. Sullivan, Esq.
	Messenger Service, Inc.	Email: csullivan@tgsdlaw.com
2	Counsel for BP/CGCENTER I, LLC	Allen, Matkins, Leck, Gamble and Mallory William W. Huckins
3		Email: whuckins@allenmatkins.com
4	Counsel for Creditor Warner Investment, L.P.	McKenna Long and Aldridge LLP
5		Gregg S. Kleiner, Esq. Email: gkleiner@mckennalong.com
6	Counsel for Creditor Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP	Dewey and LeBoeuf Paul S. Jasper, Esq.
7		Email: pjasper@dl.com
8	Counsel for Creditor Iron Mountain Information Management Inc.	Bartlett, Hackett and Feinberg Frank F. McGinn, Esq.
9	information Management Inc.	Email: ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com
10	Counsel for Creditor Hines REIT 321 North Clark Street, LLC	DLA Piper LLP Frank T. Pepler, Esq.
11	North Clark Street, LLC	Email: frank.pepler@dlapiper.com
	Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley	Outten and Golden LLP
12		Rene S. Roupinian, Esq. Email: rst@outtengolden.com
13	Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley	Law Offices of James D. Wood
14		James D. Wood, Esq. <i>Email</i> : <u>jdw@jdwoodlaw.com</u>
15	Counsel for Creditor Pension Benefit	Office of the Chief Counsel
16	Guaranty Corp.	John Holland Ginsberg, Esq. Email: ginsberg.john@pbgc.gov
17	Counsel for Interested Party Connecticut	Schnader Harrison Segal and Lewis
18	General Life Insurance Company	Melissa Lor, Esq. <i>Email:</i> <u>MLor@Schnader.com</u>
19	Counsel for Howrey Claims LLC	McGrane LLP
20		William McGrane, Esq. Email: william.mcgrane@mcgranellp.com
21		Klein, DeNatale, Goldner, Cooper,
22		Rosenlieb & Kimball, LLP Christian D. Jinkerson, Esq. <u>ijinkerson@kleinlaw.com</u>
23	Counsel for Interested Party Connecticut General Life Insurance Co.	Melissa Lor <i>Email</i> : mlor@schnader.com
24		
25		12

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 12 of 28

1	Counsel for Creditor Knickerbocker	Seyfarth Shaw LLP
2	Properties, Inc. XXXIII	Scott Olson, Esq. Email: solson@seyfarth.com
3	Counsel for Creditor Banc of America Leasing & Capital, LLC	Law Offices of Serlin and Whiteford Mark A. Serlin, Esq.
4		Email: mserlin@globelaw.com
5	Counsel for Creditor Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	Bankruptcy & Collections Division Kimberly Walsh, Esq. Email: bk-kwalsh@oag.state.tx.us
6		
7	Counsel for Creditor 200 S. Main Street Investors, LLC	Ballard Spahr Andrews and Ingersoll Rebecca J. Winthrop, Esq. Email: WinthropR@ballardspahr.com
8		Penny M. Costa, Esq. Email: costap@ballardspahr.com
9		Emuu . <u>costap@pattaraspanr.com</u>
	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Peitzman Weg LLP Larry Peitzman, Esq.
10		Email: <u>lpeitzman@peitzmanweg.com</u>
11	Counsel for Amy J. Fink	Jones Day Robert A. Trodella
12		Email: <u>rtrodella@jonesday.com</u>
13	Counsel for Harris County	Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP John P. Dillman
14		Email: houston_bankruptcy@lgbs.com
15	Counsel for Informal Group of Certain Former Howrey Attorneys	Dumas & Clark LLP Cecily A. Dumas
16		Email: <u>Cecily.dumas@dumasclark.com</u> Robert E. Clark
		Email: Robert.clark@dumasclark.com
17	Counsel for SAP America, Inc.	Cooper White & Cooper LLP
18	Counsel for Sail armerica, inc.	Peter C. Califano Email: pcalifano@cwclaw.com
19	Counsel for SAP America, Inc.	Brown & Connery LLP
20	,	Donald K. Ludman, Esq.
		Email: <u>dludman@brownconnery.com</u>
21	Counsel for McKenna Long and Aldridge	Michael A. Isaacs, Esq. <i>Email</i> : misaacs@mckennalong.com
22		aworthing@mckennalong.com
23		
24		
25		13

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 13 of 28

1	Counsel for Jeffrey M. Judd	Judd Law Group
2		Jeffrey M. Judd, Esq. <i>Email</i> : <u>jeff@juddlawgroup.com</u>
		melanie@juddlawgroup.com
3	Counsel for McGrane LLP	William Joseph Walraven, Esq. Email: anna.song@mcgranellp.com
4	Counsel for Cooper US, Inc.	Cooper Industries, Inc.
5	Counsel for Cooper US, Inc.	Paula Beck Whitten, Esq.
6		Email: paula.whitten@cooperindustries.com
7	Counsel for Neal Gerber & Eisenberg LLP	Hanson Bridgett LLP Nancy J. Newman
8		Email: <u>nnewman@hansonbridgett.com</u>
9	VIA EMAIL:	
10	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison
11	Counsel for Circuitor Citibania, 14a a	Kelley A. Cornish, Esq.
		Email: <u>kcornish@paulweiss.com</u> Diane Meyers, Esq.
12		Email:dmeyers@paulweiss.com Jacob J. Adlerstein, Esq.
13		Email: jadlerstein@paulweiss.com ayoung@paulweiss.com
14		Ballard Spahr LLP
15		Matthew Moncur, Esq.
13		Email: moncurm@ballardspahr.com
16		EMC Corporation
17		c/o Receivable Management Services Steven Sass, Esq.
10		Email: steven.sass@rmsna.com Ronald Rowland, Esq.
18		Email: Ronald.rowland@rmsna.com
19		Olin Corporation
20		S. Christian Mullgardt
21		Email: scmullgardt@olin.com
21	Counsel for Ober Kaler LLP	John Anthony Wof, Esq.
22		Email: jawolf@ober.com
23		mhwhitman@ober.com
24		
25		
<u>_</u>	l .	1.4

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 14 of 28

1	VIA U.S. MAIL:
2 3	Richard Burdge, Esq. The Burdge Law Firm PC 500 S Grand Ave Ste 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90071
4	
5	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq. Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1007 North Orange Street
6	Wilmington, DE 19899 Attorneys for Interested Party Connecticut General Life Insurance Company
7 8	IKON Office Solutions Recovery & Bankruptcy Group 3920 Arkwright Road, Suite 400 Macon, GA 31210
9 10	EMC Corporation c/o RMS Bankruptcy Recovery Services Attn: President or General/Managing Agent
11	P.O. Box 5126 Timonium, MD 21094-5126
12 13	Salter & Company LLC 4600 East-West Highway, Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814
14 15	County of Loudoun Virginia Belkys Escobar 1 Harrison St., S.E. 5th Fl. Leesburg, VA 20175-3102
16 17	Matura Farrington Staffing Services, Inc. 700 So. Flower Street, Suite 2505 Los Angeles, CA 90017
18	Guy Davis Protiviti Inc.
19	1051 East Cary Street, Suite 602 Richmond, VA 23219
20	George E. Shoup, III
21	Development Specialists, inc. 6375 Riverside Drive, Suite 200 Dublin, OH 43017-5373
22	
23	
24	

15 Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 15 of 28

1	Kyle Everett Development Specialists, Inc.
2	235 Pine Street, Suite 1150 San Francisco, CA 94104
3	James W. Martin
4	DHR International 5425 Wisconsin Ave., 4 th Floor
5	Chevy Chase, MD 20815
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 16 of 28

EXHIBIT A

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (the "<u>Agreement</u>") is entered into by and between Allan B. Diamond, in his capacity as the Chapter 11 Trustee (the "<u>Trustee</u>") for Howrey, Simon, Arnold and White LLP a/k/a Howrey LLP ("<u>Howrey</u>"), and Crowell & Moring LLP ("<u>C&M</u>" and, together with the Trustee, the "<u>Parties</u>"), as of the date signed below.

RECITALS

- A. On April 11, 2011, certain creditors of Howrey filed an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code initializing Case No. 11-31376-DM (the "Bankruptcy Case") pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Court");
- B. On June 6, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order for Relief and Converting the Bankruptcy Case to one under Chapter 11;
- C. On October 12, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order Approving Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee whereby the Bankruptcy Court approved the Trustee as the Chapter 11 Trustee in the Bankruptcy Case;
- D. On February 15, 2013, the Trustee served C&M with a Rule 2004 subpoena regarding unfinished business claims by the Trustee and/or Howrey (the "Subpoena").
- E. On December 5, 2013, C&M responded to the Subpoena by providing information for settlement purposes only regarding receipts paid to C&M on matters brought by Mark Klapow ("Klapow"), a former Howrey partner, to C&M (the "Matters").
- F. Since February 2013, and continuing through January 2014, the Trustee has discussed and analyzed the factual circumstances and legal issues relating to the Matters.
- G. Between January 14, 2014, and January 16, 2014, the Trustee and C&M engaged in arms-length negotiations regarding the settlement of unfinished business claims that the Trustee and/or Howrey has or may have against C&M.
- H. In compromise of disputed matters and to avoid the expense of litigation, and without admitting the validity of any claims asserted by the Trustee, C&M has accepted the Trustee's settlement offer to resolve the unfinished business claims the Trustee and/or Howrey has or may have against C&M relating to the Matters. Accordingly, the Trustee and C&M hereby enter into this Agreement.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby acknowledge and agree as follows:

1. The Parties have agreed that C&M shall pay the Trustee the sum of \$65,500, in a one-time payment (the "Payment"), to resolve the unfinished business claims that the Trustee

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 18

and/or Howrey has or may have against C&M, and the unfinished business claims and excess distributions claims that the Trustee and/or Howrey has or may have against Klapow.

2. Within three (3) business days after the Effective Date of this Agreement, as defined in Paragraph 15 below, C&M shall transfer the Payment to the Trustee either by check or wire transfer, in the manner described below:

a. By check:

Payable to: "Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee of Howrey LLP"
Diamond McCarthy LLP
Attention – Jason M. Rudd
Two Houston Center
909 Fannin Street, Suite 1500
Houston, Texas 77010; or

b. By wire transfer:

Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee of Howrey LLP Account No. 9250393741 Citibank, N.A. ABA No. 254070116

- 3. Promptly upon execution of this Agreement, the Trustee will seek the approval of the Bankruptcy Court of this Agreement in accordance with Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"). C&M agrees to reasonably cooperate, if required, in the request for approval of this Agreement from the Bankruptcy Court. This Agreement is expressly contingent upon the approval of the Bankruptcy Court.
- 4. Upon the Effective Date of this Agreement and receipt of the Payment, the Trustee on behalf of Howrey and its estate, for consideration as enumerated above, and with the exception of the terms of Paragraph 6 of this Agreement, hereby releases, acquits, satisfies, and forever discharges C&M, and its respective successors, predecessors, assigns, representatives, insurers, and guarantors, as well as its past and present directors, officers, members, managers, employees, subsidiaries, partners and spouses of partners (with the exception of any other former Howrey partner who may join C&M after the execution of this Agreement, to the extent provided in Paragraph 6 of this Agreement), parents, affiliates, affiliated companies, joint venture partners, subcontractors, attorneys, and agents, of and on account of all manner of action and actions, cause and causes of action, suits, debtors, dues, sums of money, accounts, reckonings, bonds, bills, specialties, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, variances, trespasses, damages, judgments, executions of claims, and demands whatsoever, latent or patent, in law or in equity, known or unknown, mature or unmature, that Howrey or the Trustee has ever had, now has, or shall have against C&M.
- 5. Upon the Effective Date of this Agreement and after transfer of the Payment to the Trustee, C&M, for itself and on behalf of its agents, administrators, successors, and assigns, for consideration as enumerated above, hereby releases, acquits, satisfies, and forever discharges

Page 2 of 5

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 19

Howrey and the Trustee, his successors, predecessors, assigns, representatives, insurers, guarantors, as well as their past and present directors, officers, members, managers, employees, subsidiaries, partners and spouses of partners, parents, affiliates, affiliated companies, joint venture partners, subcontractors, attorneys, and agents, of and on account of all manner of action and actions, cause and causes of action, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, reckonings, bonds, bills, specialties, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, variances, trespasses, damages, judgments, executions of claims, and demands whatsoever, latent or patent, in law or in equity, known or unknown, mature or unmature, that C&M has ever had, now has, or shall have against Howrey or the Trustee.

NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT, NOR THE NEGOTIATION, EXECUTION, OR DELIVERY HEREOF BY ANY PARTY, SHALL BE DEEMED TO CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OR RELEASE OF ANY CLAIMS, RIGHTS OR ACTIONS THAT HOWREY, THE TRUSTEE, THE CREDITORS COMMITTEE, OR ANY OF THEIR ASSIGNS, SHALL HAVE AGAINST: (A) ANY FORMER HOWREY PARTNER; (B) ANY FORMER HOWREY PARTNER WHO MAY IN THE FUTURE BE EMPLOYED AT OR A PARTNER OF C&M AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT; AND (C) C&M WITH RESPECT TO UNFINISHED BUSINESS CLAIMS THAT MAY ARISE IN THE FUTURE SOLELY AS A RESULT OF C&M'S EMPLOYMENT OR ACCEPTANCE AS A PARTNER AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT OF A FORMER HOWREY PARTNER (OTHER THAN KLAPOW) WHO DISASSOCIATED FROM HOWREY AFTER MARCH 1, 2010. EACH OF THE TRUSTEE, HOWREY, AND C&M HEREBY EXPRESSLY AGREE THAT HOWREY, THE TRUSTEE, THE CREDITORS COMMITTEE, OR ANY OF THEIR ASSIGNS, RESERVE AND RETAIN ALL RIGHTS AND CLAIMS THAT THEY MAY HAVE IN AND TO ALL CLAIMS, CAUSES OF ACTION AND RIGHTS, WHETHER PURSUANT TO THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, FEDERAL, STATE STATUTORY LAW OR COMMON LAW, OR OTHERWISE, AGAINST: (A) ANY FORMER HOWREY PARTNER; (B) ANY FORMER HOWREY PARTNER WHO MAY IN THE FUTURE BE EMPLOYED AT OR A PARTNER OF C&M AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT; AND (C) C&M WITH RESPECT TO UNFINISHED BUSINESS CLAIMS THAT MAY ARISE IN THE FUTURE SOLELY AS A RESULT OF C&M'S EMPLOYMENT OR ACCEPTANCE AS A PARTNER AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT OF A FORMER HOWREY PARTNER (OTHER THAN KLAPOW) WHO DISASSOCIATED FROM HOWREY AFTER MARCH 1, 2010. EACH OF THE TRUSTEE, HOWREY, AND C&M HEREBY EXPRESSLY AGREE THAT C&M RESERVES AND RETAINS ALL RIGHTS AND DEFENSES IT MAY HAVE REGARDING ALL CLAIMS, CAUSES OF ACTION AND RIGHTS, WHETHER PURSUANT TO THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, FEDERAL, STATE STATUTORY LAW OR COMMON LAW, OR OTHERWISE, THAT HOWREY, THE TRUSTEE, THE CREDITORS COMMITTEE, OR ANY OF THEIR ASSIGNS MAY HAVE AGAINST C&M WITH RESPECT TO UNFINISHED BUSINESS CLAIMS THAT MAY ARISE IN THE FUTURE SOLELY AS A RESULT OF C&M'S EMPLOYMENT OR ACCEPTANCE AS A PARTNER AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT OF A FORMER HOWREY PARTNER (OTHER THAN KLAPOW) WHO DISASSOCIATED FROM HOWREY AFTER MARCH 1, 2010.

7. In connection with the releases set forth in paragraphs 4, 5 and 6 above, the Parties waive all rights they may have under section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides that:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known to him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

By executing this Agreement, the Parties expressly and forever waive the benefits, protection and rights of California Civil Code section 1542, or any other similar provision of the law of any other jurisdiction, with respect to matters released by the Agreement.

- 8. The signatory for C&M hereby represents that he or she has full power and authority to settle, limit, or otherwise control the matters and claims which are the subject of this Agreement and has authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of C&M.
- 9. The Trustee represents and warrants that he has the full power and authority to negotiate a final settlement of the matters and claims covered by this Agreement, subject to Bankruptcy Court approval described herein.
- 10. The Parties acknowledge that the Trustee is acting solely in his capacity as the Chapter 11 Trustee of Howrey in this matter and has no personal liability whatsoever with respect to this Agreement or the transactions described herein.
- 11. This agreement shall be binding on any and all replacements, successors, or assigns of the Trustee, including without limitation a chapter 7 trustee if the Bankruptcy Case is converted to one under chapter 7. Except as otherwise specifically provided for herein, nothing contained in this Agreement shall create any rights, remedies, or defenses in favor of any party of interest that is not a party to this Agreement.
- 12. This Agreement shall be interpreted, construed, and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California and the United States Bankruptcy Code and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, as applicable. Each Party hereby submits to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for any action, suit, or proceeding to enforce this Agreement which involves Howrey or the Trustee and agree that any such action, suit, or proceeding shall be brought in the Bankruptcy Court. Each Party hereby irrevocably waives, to the fullest extent permitted by law, any objection that it may now or hereafter have to venue of any such action, suit, or proceeding brought in such a court related solely to the Agreement and involving Howrey or the Trustee. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Agreement as it relates to the Bankruptcy Case.
- 13. Neither this Agreement, nor any of the terms hereof, nor any negotiations or proceedings in connection herewith shall constitute or be construed as or be deemed to be evidence of an admission on the part of any party of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever, or the truth or untruth, or merit or lack of merit, of any claim or defense of any party; nor shall this Agreement, or any of the terms hereof, or any negotiations or proceedings in connection herewith, or any performance or forbearance hereunder, be offered or received in evidence or

Page 4 of 5

used in any proceeding against any Party, or used in any proceeding, or otherwise, for any purpose whatsoever except with respect to the effectuation and enforcement of this Agreement.

- 14. This Agreement may be signed in counterparts, which, when taken as a whole, shall constitute one and the same document; and faxed, electronic, and emailed signatures shall be deemed originals.
- 15. This Agreement shall be effective (the "Effective Date") fourteen (14) days after C&M receives written notice from Howrey that the Bankruptcy Court has entered an order approving this Agreement under Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

AGREED:

Dated: February ______, 2014

Dated: February 6, 2014

Howrey LLP, Debtor

Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee

Crowell & Moring LLP

Nork D. Plevin, portm

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 23

EXHIBIT B

1	DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. (pro hac vice)		
2	James D. Sheppard, Esq. (pro hac vice)		
3	1201 Elm Street, Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 75209		
4	Telephone: 214-389-5300 Facsimile: 214-389-5399		
5	aryan@diamondmccarthy.com jsheppard@diamondmccarthy.com		
6			
7	Counsel for Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP		
8	KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHI	NER, P.C.	
9	Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105) Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291)		
10	1970 Broadway, Suite 225		
11	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510-763-1000		
12	Facsimile: 510-273-8669 Local Counsel for Allan B. Diamond,		
13	Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP		
14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
15	FOR THE NORTHERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	In re	Case No. 11-31376 DM	
17	HOWREY LLP,	Chapter 11	
18	Debtor.	DECLARATION OF ALLAN B.	
19	200021	DIAMOND IN SUPPORT OF CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE'S MOTION	
20		FOR ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH CROWELL & MORING LLP	
22		CROWELL & MORING LLI	
23	Allan B. Diamond makes this declaration under	· 28 U.S.C. & 1746. and states:	
24	Allan B. Diamond makes this declaration under 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and states:		
25	1. I am the chapter 11 trustee (" <u>Trustee</u> ") for Howrey LLP (" <u>Howrey</u> " or " <u>Debtor</u> ").		
26	The Court approved my appointment on Octob	er 12, 2011. I make this declaration in support of	

494396 v.1

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 24 of 28

my Motion for Entry of Order Approving Settlement Agreement With Crowell & Mooring LLP ("Motion").

- 2. Unless otherwise stated in this declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein or have confirmed such facts through consultations with professionals employed in this case or the Howrey estate.
- 3. Following my appointment in this case on October 12, 2011, I engaged in extensive investigation and analysis of claims that could be asserted on behalf of Howrey's estate against former Howrey partners and the successor law firms that hired the former Howrey partners. As part of those efforts, I engaged in Rule 2004 discovery with a number of successor law firms, including Crowell & Mooring LLP ("C&M"), to obtain documents or other relevant information regarding the unfinished business of Howrey that might have been completed at the successor law firms.
- 4. On December 5, 2013, C&M provided my counsel and me with confidential information and a chart of four matters (the "Former Howrey Matters") that could possibly constitute unfinished business transferred from Howrey to C&M by former Howrey Level I partner Mark Klapow (the "C&M Former Howrey Partner").
- 5. Based upon my and my counsel's review and analysis of the C&M data produced, I believed that the Howrey estate likely had meritorious claims against C&M for recovery of the profits earned on the Former Howrey Matters, both on fraudulent conveyance grounds and the unfinished business doctrine ("<u>Unfinished Business Claims</u>"). Among other things, I believed Howrey's former partners improperly attempted to shield themselves and their successor firms (including C&M) from unfinished business claims by executing a so-called Jewel Waiver on the eve of Howrey's dissolution. I also believed that Howrey's partners approved this eleventh-hour

494396 v.1 2

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1679 Filed: 03/25/14 Entered: 03/25/14 06:32:01 Page 25 of 28

Jewel Waiver knowing that similar waivers had been avoided as fraudulent transfers in prior law firm bankruptcies.

- 6. Between January 14, 2014 and January 16, 2014, C&M and my counsel engaged in arm's length negotiations regarding the settlement of unfinished business claims that the Trustee and/or Howrey has or may have against C&M.
- 7. On February 6, 2014, I authorized my counsel to accept settlement with C&M which resulted in a compromise embodied in the C&M Settlement Agreement that generally provides the Howrey estate with the following benefits:
 - a. Within three business days of the Effective Date, C&M shall pay the sum of \$65,500 to the estate (the "Payment") via bank check or wire transfer. See C&M Settlement Agreement ¶¶ 1-2.
 - b. Upon the Effective Date of the C&M Settlement Agreement, the Trustee will agree to release claims against C&M (and related parties) that the Trustee has ever had, now has, or shall have against C&M. See C&M Settlement Agreement ¶ 4.
 - c. Upon the Effective Date of the C&M Settlement Agreement, C&M will agree to release claims against Howrey and the Trustee (and their related parties) that C&M has ever had, now has, or shall have against Howrey and/or the Trustee. See C&M Settlement Agreement ¶ 5.
- 8. I believe that the compromise detailed in the C&M Settlement Agreement is in the best interest of the Howrey estate and its creditors. All of these benefits were obtained without litigation, but instead through good faith and arm's length negotiations with C&M and its counsel.
- 9. My ultimate decision to approve the C&M Settlement Agreement is guided by the factors set out in *Martin v. Kane (In re A&C Properties)*, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986), cert. denied sub nom. Martin v. Robinson, 479 U.S. 854 (1986). The factors considered are "(a)

494396 v.1 3

[t]he probability of success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the matter of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and delay necessarily attending it; [and] (d) the paramount interest of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views in the premises." *Id.* (internal citations omitted). Here, the factors weigh in favor of the C&M Settlement Agreement.

- 10. <u>First</u>, the C&M Settlement Agreement results in a compromise of the unfinished business claims and Payment to the estate without commencing any litigation. The Payment is approximately 17% of the gross revenue collected by C&M on the former Howrey matters and, thus, well within the range of reasonableness based on the range of settlements obtained in prior law firm bankruptcies.
- 11. <u>Second</u>, C&M raised a legal defense about whether the firm had unfinished business liability because the C&M Former Howrey Partner was a Level I partner at Howrey. Although the Trustee believes that this issue would be resolved in the Trustee's favor, the C&M Settlement Agreement obviates the risk that the Trustee faces in litigating this issue.
- 12. Had litigation commenced over the unfinished business claims, I believe there would have been little risk that the litigation would have been unsuccessful. However, given (i) the amount of unfinished business revenue at issue compared to the attendant costs (and risks) of litigation; and (ii) the range of potential offsets or credits to which C&M might be entitled as part of the estate's damages calculations, even a successful litigation would be unlikely to net the estate a materially higher recovery than provided for in the proposed settlement.
- 13. For these reasons, I have concluded in my business judgment that the C&M Settlement Agreement is fair, equitable and in the best interest of the estate and its creditors.

494396 v.1 4

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: March 24, 2014

By:
Allan B. Diamond, Chapter Ill Trustee of the Bankruptcy Estate of Howey LLP

494396 v.1